

MITCHELL GIVES EIGHT TALKS ON POETIC CULTURE
G. W. Students Showing Deep Interest in Views Of Playwright.

Students, faculty members and friends of George Washington University are taking marked interest in the special course of eight lectures now being given by Dr. Langdon E. Mitchell, Washington poet and playwright, on "Poetry as the Greatest of All Means of Individual Self-Development and of National Culture and Unity."

The second lecture of the series will be given at 11:15 Thursday morning in Concordia Lutheran Church, Twentieth and G streets northwest, which is used regularly by the larger classes of the English department. The subject will be "The Old Testament."

Dr. Mitchell's third lecture will deal with the New Testament, the fourth and fifth with lyric poetry, and the last three with Shakespeare. The lectures will be given on Thursday mornings at 11:15, with the exception of the final one, which will be on Tuesday morning, March 22.

Dr. Mitchell is the author of "Booby Sharp," "The New York Idea," which has been filmed and recently was shown here, and numerous other works, including several volumes of verse. He is the son of the late Dr. S. Weir Mitchell, noted novelist.

CENTENNIAL PLANS FOR THIS MONTH.

Arrangements are completed for the centennial celebration of the founding of the university, to be held this month. The festivities will be opened with a dinner by the students of the arts and sciences department at the New Willard Hotel on the evening of Saturday, February 19. At 7 o'clock the same evening students of the law school will give a dinner at the Franklin Square Hotel.

The mid-winter convocation sermon will be preached at 3:30 Sunday afternoon, February 20, at the Church of the Covenant, Eighteenth and N streets northwest.

The junior classes have arranged for a reception in the large ballroom of the Raleigh Hotel on Monday, February 21, from 4 to 7 o'clock. Delegates and other centennial guests, officers and faculty members, alumni, students and former students have been invited to attend.

The university's centennial dinner, in honor of recipients of honorary degrees and delegates from other educational institutions and their wives, will be held at 7:30 Monday, February 21, at Rauscher's.

The mid-winter convocation exercises will be held at 3 o'clock Tuesday, February 22, George Washington's birthday, at Central High School, Charles A. Richardson, president of Union College, will deliver the centennial oration, and a commemorative address will be given by William Bruce King, of the board of trustees of the university. Degrees will be conferred on the largest mid-year graduation class in the history of the institution. President William Miller Collier will preside.

The junior classes will give a prom at Rauscher's at 10 o'clock Thursday evening, February 24. The juniors will present a play, "The Truth," at Central High School at 8:15 Monday evening, February 23.

Lambda chapter of Phi Sigma Kappa entertained its alumni recently at an annual smoker at the chapter house.

A. Bruce Bielaski, graduate of the university and president of Delta Tau Delta Fraternity, was honor guest and principal speaker at the annual initiation banquet of Gamma Eta chapter of the fraternity held recently at the New Ebbitt. Fourteen members were initiated into the fraternity. Ross M. Nichols was toastmaster. The initiates are George W. Askew, J. Nelson Anderson, A. Hamilton Bell, Jr., James T. Ferguson, Paul H. Baker, Fred M. Bradley, Carl C. Crowe, Joseph B. Colburne, Edwin H. Evans, Charles F. Foley, Douglas W. Macomber, Robert E. Newby, William Clarke Prentiss, Jr., and Parrish Wood, Jr.

George Myers and C. E. Chambliss, Jr., furnished a musical program at an "open house" entertainment given recently by Alpha Nu chapter of Kappa Alpha at the chapter house.

Sigma Nu Wins Bowling Series.

Sigma Nu fraternity has won the championship of the bowling series just completed by the Interfraternity Association's Bowling League. The team won twenty-three games and lost only one. The standing teams follow: Kappa Sigma, Sigma Phi Epsilon, Kappa Alpha, Delta Tau Delta, Phi Sigma Kappa, Sigma Alpha Epsilon, Theta Delta Chi, and Sigma Chi.

Clyde Tolson, captain of the Sigma Nu team, made an average of 97 for 17 games. Averages of the other members of the team follow: Yates, 95; Cates, 95; Bopst, 97, and Keiber, 98.

Kappa Alpha made the highest team score for one match—538. Sigma Nu was next with 521. L. E. Bopst, of Sigma Nu, made the highest score of the season, 133. C. H. Hizon, of Theta Delta Chi, was next, with 129.

PIMPLES ON FACE CUTICURA HEALS
Hard, Large, and Red. Itched and Burned Badly.

"My face began to burn and then broke out in pimples. They were hard, large, and red, and festered and scalded so badly that I scratched and irritated my face."

"The trouble lasted about three weeks. I began using Cuticura Soap and Ointment and after I had used two cakes of Cuticura Soap and one box of Cuticura Ointment I was completely healed." (Signed) Marion H. Lazar, Martins, S. C., July 31, 1920.

Cuticura Soap, Ointment and Tablets are all you need for all toilet uses. Bathe with Soap, soothe with Ointment, dust with Tablets.

"Cuticura Soap, Ointment and Tablets are the best of all toilet uses. Bathe with Soap, soothe with Ointment, dust with Tablets."

Wandering Greeks Dine.

Members of the Wandering Greeks Society, George Washington University, held a banquet and business meeting at the Garden Tea Room Friday night. The society, composed of members of national Greek letter fraternities, is represented by chapters at George Washington, is the first of the kind in the country.

Can You Beat It!

By Maurice Ketten
(Copyright, 1920, by the Press Publishing Co.)
(The New York Evening World.)

SMOKERS' STUBS BIGGEST FACTOR IN D. C. FIRE LOSS
The Underwriters Report 3,789 Blazes and \$1,619,249 Damage in 5 Years.

Careless smokers are responsible for the greatest amount of fire loss in the District, according to a survey made by the National Board of Fire Underwriters of fires which have occurred during the last five years.

Approximately 3,789 fires were reported upon by the insurance companies during this time, entailing a total loss of \$1,619,249, of which \$414,244 is attributed to matches and careless smoking. It is estimated that 25 per cent should be added to the totals to cover the fires not reported to the underwriters.

Fires Largely Preventable.

Fires are classified into three groups, of which twelve are listed as strictly preventable with a total of \$625,901; nine are designated as partly preventable with a total of \$260,406, and beyond these are the unknown causes totaling \$692,942. These unknown causes, according to the underwriters, may be considered as probably largely preventable, since it is known they would be distributed among the other causes.

It is pointed out that if this total sum of \$1,619,249 could have been used instead of wasted through fires, it would have built 321 homes at \$5,000 each, large enough to house 1,610 people, or it would have built 161 miles of good macadam road at \$10,000 per mile.

Strictly Preventable Causes: Defective chimneys and flues, \$215,135; fireworks, firecrackers, etc., \$394; gas, natural and artificial, \$11,249; hot ashes and coal, open fires, \$19,752; ignition of hot grease, oil, tar, wax, asphalt, etc., \$1,059; matches, \$414,244; open lights, \$17,704; petroleum and its products, \$15,296; rubbish and litter, \$51,987; sparks on roofs, \$2,518; steam and hot water pipes, \$31,900; stoves, furnaces, boilers and their pipes, \$34,393. Total, \$625,901.

Partly preventable causes: Electricity, \$86,768; explosions, \$18,849; exposure (including conflagrations), \$19,863; incendiary, \$50,177; lightning, \$6,974; miscellaneous known causes, \$14,414; sparks from combustion, \$4,382; spontaneous combustion, \$52,733. Total, \$260,406.

Tough and Fast Fight Watched By High Society
Baltimore Women See Old Style Slugging in De Luxe Bout.

BALTIMORE, Feb. 5.—Fifty society women, including a sprinkling of debutantes, saw the claret flow at a de luxe prize fight in the ballroom of the Belvedere Hotel here last night. They had gone there for excitement, and got it in full measure when Dick Stosh, of Cleveland, lambasted Benny Sharp for eight old-fashioned rounds, at which point the police stopped the bout.

Sharp was in pathetic shape, his nose bleeding profusely and his face otherwise battered. There were no hysterics on the part of the ladies present, but one fair spectator, whose escort had paid a fancy price for a ring-side seat, covered her eyes when a smashing right connected with Sharp's nose and sent the claret spurting. From that time on she took only an occasional peep at the mill.

The rest of the women, most of whom were of the dowager type, took the bout calmly—and some appeared even to be bored.

Apart from the presence of the women, the background of the bout was notable chiefly for the formal attire of the clubmen present and of the seconds, although "Joe" Tipman, who served as referee, upset the press agents' dope by appearing in a red sweater. The audience was small and exclusive.

Harding Vacation Over; Speeds North

PALM BEACH, Fla., Feb. 5.—President-elect Harding has completed his vacation in Southern Florida and last night is returning with all possible speed to St. Augustine for a series of conferences that will occupy considerable of his time there.

The houseboat Victoria, after taking on provisions and water, left Palm Beach at 4 o'clock yesterday and by steady running expects to make the 350 miles to St. Augustine by Monday night. The houseboat probably will make only one stop, at Daytona, where Senator Cummins will leave the party. The first of the President-elect's engagements will be on Tuesday morning, and are expected to continue until the last days of February, when he will leave St. Augustine to spend March 2 in Marion.

No announcement has been made of the list of conferences who will come to St. Augustine, but it is understood that the President-elect will see a number of leaders there. It is planned to arrange all conferences at St. Augustine in the morning, so that Mr. Harding will have the afternoons for golf and fishing.

Says It's Up to Congress To Educate D. C. Children

Responsibility for the proper education of Washington children rests in the last analysis with Congress, according to Superintendent of Schools Ballou, who Friday night before the Parent-Teacher Association of Birney School, Anacostia, reiterated the urgent need for 183 more classrooms here.

"The time will come," said Ballou, "when consideration of dollars will not stand in the way of a child's education. The people who control the appropriations for the schools control education—a minimum appropriation means a minimum education."

Fined \$25 for Killing Man.

MARTINSBURG, W. Va., Feb. 5.—Charged with slaying John Smith, a cooper, in a drunken brawl due to hard cider, near Martinsburg, several months ago, and found guilty by a jury of involuntary manslaughter, James Butts, a mountaineer, today was fined \$25 and costs in the Circuit Court.

The average American pupil spends 5,000 hours during his or her education in public schools.

DISAPPOINTED!

SHE IS INNOCENT

Just too bad, central gave her the wrong number. Be sure to get FRANKLIN 7483 for we want your parlor suite and upholstered work. I will give you a job to be proud of. Work guaranteed absolutely at reasonable prices. Also chair caning, chairs rushed and upholstered with genuine rush.

CLAY ARMSTRONG
Upholsterer
1233 10th st. Franklin 7483

FRAME-UP VICTIM, DAVIS CHARGES IN ALIENATION SUIT
Son of Democratic Candidate Alleges He Was Drugged Here.

John T. Davis, multimillionaire, prominent socially in Washington and West Virginia, alleges that he is not only the victim of a conspiracy but that he was drugged when found with Mrs. David W. Sullivan, known to him as Nan Stock, a young and beautiful hair dresser. This is his answer in an action for \$500,000 for alleged alienation of affection brought against him by David W. Sullivan, a former Washington stock broker. The suit is now on trial before Justice Frederick S. Siddons and a jury in the District of Columbia Supreme Court.

The defendant is the son of Henry Gasaway Davis, Democratic candidate for Vice President on the ticket with Alton B. Parker, and uncle of Senator Davis Elkins of West Virginia. Sullivan, who accuses the multimillionaire of having lavished thousands of dollars and costly jewels upon his wife, was a codefendant with Nicky Arnstein in the alleged \$5,000,000 Liberty bond conspiracy recently tried in Washington.

The action was denounced in the opening address to the jury by William J. Lambert, attorney for Davis, as "a connivance and a conspiracy to get money."

Answers Charges.

"We will show that some of these diamonds and jewelry were used by Sullivan to get money on in pawnshops," asserted Lambert.

In answer to the charges of conspiracy T. Morris Wampler, counsel for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

Met Woman January, 1918.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

On this occasion, Attorney Lambert told the jury, Davis was asleep or in a torpor as the result of a drug administered by Mrs. Sullivan, when he complained of having a headache.

"Davis is a powerful man physically, and always was a major West Virginian do, carried his side arms with him," continued Lambert. "We will show by the evidence that they (Sullivan and Bowles) would not have dared to come in if they had not known he was drugged; that they entered by a fire escape and came through the parlor into his bedroom. Davis does not know what the situation was, but witnesses will show that they found Nan Stock or Nan Sullivan there."

Davis' acquaintance with Mrs. Sullivan dated from January, 1918. Lambert told the jury. While at the Hotel Bellevue at that time, the defendant's attorney related, he made an engagement by telephone to dine with two women at the Willard. Shortly thereafter he was called to the phone and a woman's voice said: "I am engaged in a hair dressing establishment and have just heard a conversation you had with two women here about making an appointment to meet them for dinner. I think you would like me very much better than you would like either one of those women and I would like to meet you. I know who you are; I have read a good deal about you and I would like to become acquainted with you."

As a result, Lambert said, Davis later made an engagement to see the lady and she called at his apartment.

"He knew her," Lambert said, "as

for Sullivan, called attention to evidence given by John J. Allen, house detective of the Hotel Bellevue, of this city, who alleged that Davis had claimed to have sufficient influence with the Department of Justice to prevent any Mann act charges being brought against him as a result of his friendship for Mrs. Sullivan.

The plaintiff relies upon testimony given by Detective Allen to prove that Davis was found by Sullivan and his attorney, Norman S. Bowles, at the Hotel Bellevue on February 23, 1919, with Mrs. Sullivan.

U. S. WINE CELLAR OPEN TO DOCTORS
Kramer Issues Order Releasing Any Amount "Deemed Necessary."

Physicians of the country were handed the key to the nation's wine supply through an order of Prohibition Commissioner John F. Kramer sent to Federal prohibition directors Friday which decreed that any amount of wine "deemed necessary" may be prescribed by physicians for medicinal purposes.

The order settles a moot question bothering prohibition agents as to the amount of wine that may be prescribed by a physician in his practice for medicinal purposes.

The order reads in part: "You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

Physicians of the country were handed the key to the nation's wine supply through an order of Prohibition Commissioner John F. Kramer sent to Federal prohibition directors Friday which decreed that any amount of wine "deemed necessary" may be prescribed by physicians for medicinal purposes.

The order settles a moot question bothering prohibition agents as to the amount of wine that may be prescribed by a physician in his practice for medicinal purposes.

The order reads in part: "You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest judgment, deem necessary in the particular case, if they in good faith believe that the use of wine is a medicine by the person for whom it is prescribed is necessary and will afford relief to him from some known ailment."

"You are advised that until further orders physicians may prescribe in their practice such quantities of wine (vinous liquors) as they, in the exercise of their sound and honest